United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	CHARLES P. KOCORAS	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	08 C 4460	DATE	August 18, 2008
CASE TITLE	Cary Dupree (#99863/K-74492) vs. George T. Pappas, et al.		

DOCKET ENTRY TEXT:

Order Form (01/2005)

The plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [#3] is granted. The court orders the trust fund officer at the plaintiff's place of incarceration to deduct \$2.67 from the plaintiff's account for payment to the clerk of court as an initial partial filing fee, and to continue making monthly deductions in accordance with this order. The clerk shall send a copy of this order to the trust fund officer at the Lake County Jail. On the court's own motion, the complaint is dismissed on initial review as to State's Attorney George Pappas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. The clerk is directed to issue summons for service on defendant Hill only. The clerk is further directed to send the plaintiff a Magistrate Judge Consent Form and Instructions for Submitting Documents along with a copy of this order. The plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel [#4] is denied, without prejudice.

■ [For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

STATEMENT

The plaintiff, a pretrial detainee in the custody of the Lake County Jail, has brought this *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The plaintiff claims that a Zion police officer falsely arrested him and illegally seized legitimate currency in the plaintiff's possession; the plaintiff additionally claims that a Lake County prosecutor has wrongfully prosecuted him, premising plea negotiations on the forfeiture of the money.

The plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), the plaintiff is assessed an initial partial filing fee of \$2.67. The trust fund officer at the plaintiff's place of incarceration is authorized and ordered to collect the partial filing fee from the plaintiff's trust fund account and pay it directly to the clerk of court. After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the plaintiff's trust fund officer is directed to collect monthly payments from the plaintiff's trust fund account in an amount equal to 20% of the preceding month's income credited to the account. Monthly payments shall be forwarded to the clerk of court each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 until the full \$350 filing fee is paid. All payments shall be sent to the Clerk, United States District Court, 219 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, Illinois 60604, attn: Cashier's Desk, 20th Floor, and shall clearly identify the plaintiff's name and this case number. This payment obligation will follow the plaintiff in the event of his transfer to another correctional facility.

(CONTINUED)

mjn

STATEMENT (continued)

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the court is required to conduct a prompt threshold review of the complaint. Here, accepting the plaintiff's allegations as true, the court finds that the plaintiff has articulated a colorable cause of action against Officer Hill for illegal search and seizure. While a more fully developed record may belie the plaintiff's allegations, Hill must respond to the complaint.

However, the complaint is dismissed on initial review as to Lake County State's Attorney George Pappas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). "Prosecutors are absolutely immune from suits for monetary damages under § 1983 for conduct that is 'intimately associated with the judicial phase of the criminal process." *Smith v. Power*, 346 F.3d 740, 742 (7th Cir. 2003). "[I]n initiating a prosecution and in presenting the State's case, the prosecutor is immune from a civil suit for damages under § 1983." *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 429-31 (1976); *see also, Buckley v. Fitzsimmons*, 509 U.S. 259 (1993); *Smith v. Power*, 346 F.3d 740, 742 (7th Cir. 2003). The plaintiff may not sue Pappas for damages in connection with the criminal prosecution.

The clerk shall issue summons forthwith for service on defendant Hill only. The clerk is additionally directed to send the plaintiff a Magistrate Judge Consent Form and Instructions for Submitting Documents along with a copy of this order.

The United States Marshals Service is appointed to serve defendant Hill. Any service forms necessary for the plaintiff to complete will be sent by the Marshal as appropriate to serve the defendant with process. The U.S. Marshal is directed to make all reasonable efforts to serve the defendant. If Officer Hill can no longer be found at the work address provided by the plaintiff, the Zion Police Department shall furnish the Marshal with the defendant's last-known address. The information shall be used only for purposes of effectuating service [or for proof of service, should a dispute arise] and any documentation of the address shall be retained only by the Marshal. Address information shall not be maintained in the court file, nor disclosed by the Marshal. The Marshal is authorized to mail a request for waiver of service to the defendant in the manner prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2) before attempting personal service.

The plaintiff is instructed to file all future papers concerning this action with the clerk of court in care of the Prisoner Correspondent. The plaintiff must provide the original plus a judge's copy of every document filed. In addition, the plaintiff must send an exact copy of any court filing to the defendant [or to defense counsel, once an attorney has entered an appearance on the defendant's behalf]. Every document filed must include a certificate of service stating to whom exact copies were mailed and the date of mailing. Any paper that is sent directly to the judge or that otherwise fails to comply with these instructions may be disregarded by the court or returned to the plaintiff.

Finally, the plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is denied. The plaintiff has failed to show either that he has made reasonable efforts to retain private counsel or that he has been effectively precluded from making such efforts. *See Gil v. Reed*, 381 F.3d 649, 656 (7th Cir. 2004), *citing Jackson v. County of McLean*, 953 F.2d 1070, 1072-73 (7th Cir. 1992).

Dated: <u>August 18, 2008</u>

CHARLES P. KOCORAS U.S. District Court Judge